Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/921,478	MUNSHI, M. ZAFAR AMIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final/Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Kallambella Vijayakumar</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Robert J Harris</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 January 2004	Time: <u>15.55 Hrs</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: ALL		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	on. The examiner will provide a writt arate record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amend the Claims 1-5, 26, 28 and 30 to claim "an implantable cardiac stimulus electrode"; Restrict the Claims 37-42 on the composition, Cancel the non-elected claims 37-42 to file a divisional application, and to condition the application for allowance. Further, a new search in view of the amendments to the claims indicated the Double Patenting Issues under 35 USC 101 wrt to US Patent No. 6,295,474 and the Attorney has been advised to send in a Terminal Disclaimer for the allowance of the instant case.